



CERN-EP-2021-122
LHCb-PAPER-2020-043
August 19, 2021

Study of J/ψ photo-production in lead-lead peripheral collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5 \text{ TeV}$

LHCb collaboration[†]

Abstract

The photo-production of J/ψ mesons at low transverse momentum is studied in peripheral lead-lead collisions collected by the LHCb experiment at a centre-of-mass energy per nucleon pair of 5 TeV, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $210 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$. The J/ψ candidates are reconstructed through the prompt decay into two muons of opposite charge in the rapidity region of $2.0 < y < 4.5$. The results significantly improve previous measurements and are compared to the latest theoretical prediction.

Submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.

© 2021 CERN for the benefit of the LHCb collaboration. CC BY 4.0 licence.

[†]Authors are listed at the end of this Letter.

One of the main open subjects in ultra-relativistic heavy-ion physics is the study of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP), an exotic state of hadronic matter predicted by quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Quantitative predictions of QGP properties are obtained from lattice computations [1]. Experimentally, one of the signatures of the QGP formation inside heavy-nuclei collisions is the suppression of heavy quarkonia production, such as the J/ψ particle [2]. The suppression is expected to depend on both the temperature of the medium and the binding energy of the state [3]. Cold nuclear matter effects [4] seem to influence the measurements in nuclei collisions. These effects must be understood prior to providing a sound interpretation in the QGP framework of the hadronically produced (through the interaction of two partons) quarkonia suppression observed at RHIC and the LHC [5–10].

The ALICE [11] and STAR [12] collaborations measured an excess with respect to expectations from purely hadronic production of J/ψ mesons at very low p_T (below 300 MeV/c), where p_T is the component of the J/ψ momentum transverse to the beam, in hadronic lead-lead (PbPb) collisions at the center-of-mass energy per nucleon pair $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV and gold-gold (uranium-uranium) collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV (193 GeV). It was posited that this excess is due to photo-produced J/ψ mesons, caused by the coherent interaction of the large electromagnetic fields generated by the projectile with the target nucleus [13]. These types of interactions were primarily expected to only occur in ultra-peripheral collisions (UPCs) [14], in which the impact parameter, b , is larger than the sum of the radii R_a and R_b of the two colliding nuclei, hence without nuclear break-up of the target or the projectile.

A precise measurement confirming coherent J/ψ production in hadronic collisions would shed light on the coherence of the interaction supposedly destroyed by the hadronic collisions and on the profile of the photon flux in peripheral PbPb collisions [15, 16].

In this Letter, a measurement of prompt J/ψ production at very-low p_T in PbPb collisions at a centre-of-mass energy per nucleon pair $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV is reported. For the first time at the LHC, the production yield is measured versus p_T and rapidity, y . The data were recorded by the LHCb detector in 2018 and correspond to an integrated luminosity of about $210 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$. The LHCb detector is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range $2 < \eta < 5$, described in detail in Refs. [17, 18]. The trigger consists of a hardware stage, using information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, which applies selections on the fully reconstructed event.

At the hardware trigger stage, events are required to have a muon with high p_T or a hadron, photon or electron with high transverse energy in the calorimeters. Two samples are selected for this analysis, a signal sample and a sample of minimum bias event used to normalise through the total number of inelastic events. They have different trigger strategies. Signal events are selected if the number of clusters in the vertex locator surrounding the interaction region, N_c , is $6000 < N_c < 10000$ or if $N_c < 6000$ and two muons with $p_T > 400$ MeV/c are reconstructed.

The trigger for the minimum bias (MB) events requires $N_c < 10000$ and a signal from the hardware trigger. To improve the signal purity, events passing the software trigger are selected if the muon candidates have a $p_T > 700$ MeV/c, the particles are consistent with originating from a primary PbPb collision vertex (PV) and are identified as muons. The prompt J/ψ candidates, which include feed-down from excited charmonium states originating from b -hadron decays, are separated from the non-prompt candidates using the

Table 1: Average number of participant nucleons, $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$, along with the corresponding standard deviation of the N_{part} distribution, σ_{part} , for each of the selected N_c intervals.

N_c	$\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$	σ_{part}
1000 – 4000	10.6	2.9
4000 – 6000	15.7	4.1
6000 – 10000	27.8	7.2
1000 – 10000	19.7	9.2

requirement $t_z < 0.3$ ps, where t_z is the pseudo decay-time defined as $(z_{J/\psi} - z_{\text{PV}})m_{J/\psi}/p_z$. Here, $(z_{J/\psi} - z_{\text{PV}})$ and p_z are the distance between the J/ψ candidate decay vertex and the PV, and the candidate momentum along the beam axis, respectively, and $m_{J/\psi}$ is the known J/ψ mass [19].

During data taking, neon (Ne) gas was injected into the beam pipe near the interaction point using LHCb’s SMOG system [20] to record fixed-target collisions simultaneously with the PbPb collisions. These fixed-target PbNe collisions are rejected by placing requirements on the position of the PV. In order to remove potential contamination from UPCs, which may bias the measurement especially for very low event activity, a minimal energy deposit in the electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) is also required.

The PbPb sample is divided into intervals of N_c which correspond to different numbers of participating nucleons, N_{part} . This quantity is related to the centrality, defined as the percentile of the total inelastic hadronic PbPb cross-section as a function of the released collision energy, which can be approximated by the total energy deposit in ECAL (E_{tot}). The more central is the collision, the larger E_{tot} is and the larger N_{part} is. The percentiles are determined using the Glauber Monte Carlo (GMC) model [21, 22]. The model is used to perform a binned fit to the E_{tot} distribution of the MB data sample, collected with the same detector conditions as the signal sample. The quantity N_{part} is estimated for each collision and the mean value, $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$, is derived from events within a given N_c range. Results for each N_c interval are summarized in Table 1. More details on the centrality determination in LHCb can be found in Ref. [23].

In this Letter, the J/ψ photo-production differential yield is measured, defined as

$$\frac{dY_{J/\psi}^i}{dy} = \frac{N_{J/\psi}^i}{\mathcal{B} N_{\text{MB}}^i \varepsilon_{\text{tot}}^i \Delta y}, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{d^2Y_{J/\psi}^i}{dp_T dy} = \frac{dY_{J/\psi}^i}{dy} \frac{1}{\Delta p_T}, \quad (2)$$

where i indicates the N_c range, $N_{J/\psi}^i$ is the number of photo-produced J/ψ meson candidates reconstructed through the $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay channel in the (p_T, y) interval of width $(\Delta p_T, \Delta y)$, $\mathcal{B} = (5.961 \pm 0.033)\%$ [19] is the branching fraction of the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, N_{MB}^i is the total number of MB events, and $\varepsilon_{\text{tot}}^i$ is the efficiency to reconstruct and select the J/ψ candidates. The dimuon invariant mass, $m(\mu^+ \mu^-)$, of the selected candidates is shown in Fig. 1 for a representative centrality interval for J/ψ candidates with $p_T < 15.0$ GeV/c and $2.0 < y < 4.5$. An unbinned fit of these candidates is performed using a Crystal-Ball (CB) function for the signal and a first order polynomial for the background.




Figure 1: (Left) Invariant mass distribution of J/ψ candidates with $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle = 10.6 \pm 2.9$, for $p_T < 15.0 \text{ GeV}/c$ and $2.0 < y < 4.5$. (Right) Distribution of $\ln(p_T^2)$ of the J/ψ candidates for $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle = 10.6 \pm 2.9$ after background subtraction. The projections of the fit to disentangle the coherently photo-produced and hadronically produced J/ψ mesons are overlaid.

Photo-produced J/ψ mesons and the hadronically produced J/ψ mesons are then disentangled through an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the dimuon p_T spectrum after subtracting non-resonant ($\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$) and combinatorial (uncorrelated muon pair) background events using the sPlot method [24] with $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$ as discriminating variable. The empirical fit model comprises a double-sided Crystal-Ball function [25] expressed in $\ln(p_T^2)$ for the photo-production contribution and a function for the hadronic component that typically has a larger p_T

$$f(p_T) = \frac{p_T^{n_1}}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{p_T}{p_0}\right)^{n_2}\right]^{n_3}}, \quad (3)$$

where n_1 , n_2 , n_3 and p_0 are parameters free to vary in the fit. The projections of the fits in the centrality interval $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle = 10.6 \pm 2.9$ are shown in Fig. 1, overlaid to the data distributions. A good description of the data is observed in all centrality intervals. The photo-produced J/ψ candidates are visible in the range $0 < p_T < 250 \text{ MeV}/c$. The p_T distribution of the photo-produced J/ψ candidates does not rise towards vanishing p_T due to the interference caused by the negative parity of the photon as explained in Ref. [16].

Simulation is required to model the effects of the detector acceptance and of the selection requirements on the signal. The PbPb collisions are generated using EPOS [26] and the hard process is generated with PYTHIA [27] with a specific LHCb configuration [28].

An additional signal sample where the J/ψ is transversely polarised was produced using the STARlight [29] generator to study the acceptance assuming the coherent photo-production scenario. The interactions of the generated particles with the detector, and its response, are implemented using the GEANT4 toolkit [30] as described in Ref. [31]. The total efficiency is determined independently in each interval of centrality, and it includes the effects of the geometrical acceptance (ε_{acc}), the trigger efficiency ($\varepsilon_{\text{trigger}}$), the reconstruction and selection efficiency ($\varepsilon_{\text{rec\&sel}}$), and the efficiency of the particle identification (PID) criteria (ε_{PID}). The acceptance is determined using the STARlight sample in the kinematic range of the analysis. The efficiency $\varepsilon_{\text{rec\&sel}}$ is estimated using simulation and data calibration techniques. The main component of the reconstruction

inefficiency is due to the tracking algorithms, as the performance is affected by the high occupancy in PbPb collisions. The relative reconstruction efficiency between data and simulation is evaluated using two D^0 meson decay channels ($D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$). The yields are evaluated in PbPb data and simulation and the difference of their ratio to unity is encoded in a factor $k(N_c)$. This factor depends on the event multiplicity, assuming $k(N_c)$ is the same for the π and μ tracks. The latter factor is used to weight the reconstructed J/ψ 's simulation. An additional weighting is also applied on the simulated J/ψ candidates to match the kinematic distribution in data through the variables N_c , p_T , and y of the J/ψ . The PID efficiency ε_{PID} is evaluated using a tag-and-probe approach with $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ decays reconstructed in proton-proton collisions that provides PID efficiency tables for single muons. Those efficiencies are used to perform a two-dimensional (p_T , N_c) extrapolation, using first- and second-order polynomial functions, to estimate the decrease of the efficiencies for higher multiplicities seen in PbPb collisions. No extrapolation is performed based on the rapidity as no correlation is seen between N_c and y .

Several sources of systematic uncertainties are considered. The uncertainty associated with the fit model used to evaluate the signal yields is determined by testing alternative fit functions. The p_T of the hadronically produced J/ψ candidates is modelled by a Tsallis function [32]. The background shape is also modified to account for incoherent photo-produced J/ψ , defined as the interaction between one photon and a single nucleon implying the destruction of the nucleus. This contribution typically produces J/ψ mesons at higher p_T than the coherent photo-production source. Therefore, another double CB function is added to model this potential contribution. The incoherent contribution shares the shape parameters of the coherent contribution with the mean p_T and width shifted according to the differences obtained in the STARlight simulations. By computing the difference to the reference fit, a total uncertainty of about 1.3% averaged over all centrality intervals is obtained.

The systematic uncertainty associated with the evaluation of the efficiencies is divided into uncertainties due to the $\varepsilon_{\text{rec\&sel}}$, ε_{PID} , and $\varepsilon_{\text{trigger}}$ efficiencies. Three systematic effects are considered for the measurement of $\varepsilon_{\text{rec\&sel}}$: the uncertainty on the weighting procedure, the uncertainty associated with the evaluation of the factor k , and the correlation between the variables p_T and y . The first component is estimated by comparing p_T , y , and N_c of the weighted distributions of the J/ψ mesons in simulation with those in data after background subtraction. The difference between the two leads to a global uncertainty of 2%. The uncertainty on the factor k is evaluated by varying its value within its uncertainty and propagating it to the tracking efficiency. An uncertainty from 2.9% to 7.4% is found depending on the considered multiplicity interval. The uncertainty on the correlation between the variables p_T and y is estimated to be 1% using calibration samples from proton-proton collisions.

The uncertainty coming from the muon PID efficiency tables is evaluated with a smearing technique. The J/ψ PID efficiency is computed using efficiency PID tables with the values in each interval varied within their uncertainty. This procedure is repeated several times and the largest difference is taken as systematic uncertainty; the effect is smaller than 1% and considered negligible compared to the uncertainty given by the difference of the two functions used for the extrapolation.

The uncertainty on $\varepsilon_{\text{trigger}}$ is estimated by comparing the trigger efficiency measurement with another method based on data. The method consists of evaluating the efficiency




Figure 2: (Top left) Differential yields of photo-produced J/ψ candidates as a function of rapidity and (top right) $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$. (Bottom) Double differential yields as a function of p_T . The vertical inner blue bars represent the statistical uncertainty and the outer red bars the total uncertainty. The horizontal bars in the $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ results correspond to the standard deviation of the N_{part} distribution of the MB events. The yields are compared to the prediction from Ref. [16,33] that take (black) or not take (green) into account the effect from the overlap region of the collision.

using a sample selected by the same trigger algorithms but independent from those used in the selection of the signal. The difference of 3% between the two methods is taken as systematic uncertainty on the trigger efficiency. The total systematic uncertainties are obtained by summing in quadrature the different sources of uncertainties.

The differential J/ψ photo-production yields, Eq. (1), as a function of the rapidity for $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle = 19.7 \pm 9.2$ and as a function of $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ are shown in Fig. 2 (top). The double-differential J/ψ photo-production yields, Eq. (2), as a function of the transverse momentum are as well shown in Fig. 2 (bottom). The mean p_T of the coherent J/ψ is found to be $\langle p_T \rangle = 64.9 \pm 2.4$ MeV/c. The results are compared to the theoretical prediction [16,33]. The model assumes two scenarios in which the coherence of the J/ψ production is (overlap effect) or is not (no overlap effect) affected by interactions with the overlap region of the two colliding nuclei.

The measured yield of the coherent J/ψ production is higher at low rapidity than at high rapidity and it is consistent with being constant with respect to $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ for the region considered in the analysis.

In summary, the yield of coherently photo-produced prompt J/ψ mesons at very low p_T in peripheral PbPb collisions collected at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5$ TeV is measured with the LHCb

experiment. The yields are studied as a function of rapidity and transverse momentum of the J/ψ meson in intervals of the number of participant nucleons $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$. These results are the most precise to date, and confirm coherent J/ψ photo-production in peripheral hadronic collisions suggested by the other experiments [11,12]. The shape of the results are qualitatively described by the theoretical prediction [16,33], although a normalisation discrepancy is observed.

Acknowledgements

We express our gratitude to our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC. We thank the technical and administrative staff at the LHCb institutes. We acknowledge support from CERN and from the national agencies: CAPES, CNPq, FAPERJ and FINEP (Brazil); MOST and NSFC (China); CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG and MPG (Germany); INFN (Italy); NWO (Netherlands); MNiSW and NCN (Poland); MEN/IFA (Romania); MSHE (Russia); MICINN (Spain); SNSF and SER (Switzerland); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE NP and NSF (USA). We acknowledge the computing resources that are provided by CERN, IN2P3 (France), KIT and DESY (Germany), INFN (Italy), SURF (Netherlands), PIC (Spain), GridPP (United Kingdom), RRCKI and Yandex LLC (Russia), CSCS (Switzerland), IFIN-HH (Romania), CBPF (Brazil), PL-GRID (Poland) and NERSC (USA). We are indebted to the communities behind the multiple open-source software packages on which we depend. Individual groups or members have received support from ARC and ARDC (Australia); AvH Foundation (Germany); EPLANET, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions and ERC (European Union); A*MIDEX, ANR, IPhU and Labex P2IO, and Région Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes (France); Key Research Program of Frontier Sciences of CAS, CAS PIFI, CAS CCEPP, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and Sci. & Tech. Program of Guangzhou (China); RFBR, RSF and Yandex LLC (Russia); GVA, XuntaGal and GENCAT (Spain); the Leverhulme Trust, the Royal Society and UKRI (United Kingdom).

References

- [1] Y. Aoki *et al.*, *The Order of the quantum chromodynamics transition predicted by the standard model of particle physics*, Nature **443** (2006) 675, [arXiv:hep-lat/0611014](#).
- [2] T. Matsui and H. Satz, *J/ψ suppression by quark-gluon plasma formation*, Phys. Lett. **B178** (1986) 416.
- [3] L. Yan, P. Zhuang, and N. Xu, *Competition between J/ψ suppression and regeneration in quark-gluon plasma*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** (2006) 232301, [arXiv:nucl-th/0608010](#).
- [4] E. G. Ferreira, F. Fleuret, J. P. Lansberg, and A. Rakotozafindrabe, *Cold nuclear matter effects on J/ψ production: Intrinsic and extrinsic transverse momentum effects*, Phys. Lett. **B680** (2009) 50, [arXiv:0809.4684](#).
- [5] ALICE collaboration, S. Acharya *et al.*, *Studies of J/ψ production at forward rapidity in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV*, JHEP **02** (2020) 041, [arXiv:1909.03158](#).

- [6] CMS collaboration, V. Khachatryan *et al.*, *Suppression of $\Upsilon(1S)$, $\Upsilon(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$ quarkonium states in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV*, Phys. Lett. **B770** (2017) 357, [arXiv:1611.01510](#).
- [7] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, *Indications of suppression of excited Υ states in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107** (2011) 052302, [arXiv:1105.4894](#).
- [8] ATLAS collaboration, M. Aaboud *et al.*, *Measurement of quarkonium production in proton–lead and proton–proton collisions at 5.02 TeV with the ATLAS detector*, Eur. Phys. J. **C78** (2018) 171, [arXiv:1709.03089](#).
- [9] CMS collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*, *Suppression of excited Υ states relative to the ground state in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** (2018) 142301, [arXiv:1706.05984](#).
- [10] ALICE collaboration, B. B. Abelev *et al.*, *Production of inclusive $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, Phys. Lett. **B740** (2015) 105, [arXiv:1410.2234](#).
- [11] ALICE collaboration, J. Adam *et al.*, *Measurement of an excess in the yield of J/ψ at very low p_T in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** (2016) 222301, [arXiv:1509.08802](#).
- [12] STAR collaboration, Adam *et al.*, *Observation of excess J/ψ yield at very low transverse momenta in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV and U + U collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 193$ GeV*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123** (2019) 132302, [arXiv:1904.11658](#).
- [13] E. J. Williams, *Nature of the high energy particles of penetrating radiation and status of ionization and radiation formulae*, Phys. Rev. **45** (1934) 729.
- [14] A. BALTZ *et al.*, *The physics of ultraperipheral collisions at the lhc*, Physics Reports **458** (2008) 1–171, [arXiv:0706.3356](#).
- [15] M. B. G. Ducati and S. Martins, *Heavy meson photoproduction in peripheral AA collisions*, Phys. Rev. **D97** (2018) 116013, [arXiv:1804.09836](#).
- [16] W. Zha *et al.*, *Coherent J/ψ photoproduction in hadronic heavy-ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. **C97** (2018) 044910, [arXiv:11705.01460](#).
- [17] LHCb collaboration, A. A. Alves Jr. *et al.*, *The LHCb detector at the LHC*, JINST **3** (2008) S08005.
- [18] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *LHCb detector performance*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A30** (2015) 1530022, [arXiv:1412.6352](#).
- [19] Particle Data Group, P. A. Zyla. *et al.* *Review of Particle Physics*, Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics **2020** (2020) , 083C01.
- [20] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *Precision luminosity measurements at LHCb*, JINST **9** (2014) P12005, [arXiv:1410.0149](#).

- [21] C. Loizides, J. Kamin, and D. d’Enterria, *Improved Monte Carlo Glauber predictions at present and future nuclear colliders*, Phys. Rev. **C97** (2018) 054910, [arXiv:1710.07098](#).
- [22] ALICE collaboration, *Centrality determination of Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV with ALICE*, Phys. Rev. **C88** (2013) 044909, [arXiv:1301.4361](#).
- [23] R. Aaij *et al.*, *Centrality determination in heavy-ion collisions with the LHCb detector*, LHCb-DP-2021-001, in preparation.
- [24] M. Pivk and F. R. Le Diberder, *sPlot: A statistical tool to unfold data distributions*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A555** (2005) 356, [arXiv:physics/0402083](#).
- [25] T. Skwarnicki, *A study of the radiative cascade transitions between the Upsilon-prime and Upsilon resonances*, PhD thesis, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow, 1986, DESY-F31-86-02.
- [26] T. Pierog *et al.*, *EPOS LHC: Test of collective hadronization with data measured at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, Phys. Rev. **C92** (2015) 034906, [arXiv:1306.0121](#).
- [27] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, *A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178** (2008) 852, [arXiv:0710.3820](#); T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, *PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual*, JHEP **05** (2006) 026, [arXiv:hep-ph/0603175](#).
- [28] I. Belyaev *et al.*, *Handling of the generation of primary events in Gauss, the LHCb simulation framework*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **331** (2011) 032047.
- [29] S. Klein *et al.*, *STARlight: A Monte Carlo simulation program for ultra-peripheral collisions of relativistic ions*, Computer Physics Communications **212** (2017) 258, [arXiv:1607.03838](#).
- [30] Geant4 collaboration, J. Allison *et al.*, *Geant4 developments and applications*, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. **53** (2006) 270; Geant4 collaboration, S. Agostinelli *et al.*, *Geant4: A simulation toolkit*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A506** (2003) 250.
- [31] M. Clemencic *et al.*, *The LHCb simulation application, Gauss: Design, evolution and experience*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **331** (2011) 032023.
- [32] C. Tsallis, *Nonextensive statistics: theoretical, experimental and computational evidences and connections*, Brazilian Journal of Physics **29** (1999) 1.
- [33] W. Zha *et al.*, *Double-slit experiment at Fermi scale: Coherent photoproduction in heavy-ion collisions*, Phys. Rev. **C99** (2019) 061901, [arXiv:1810.10694](#).

LHCb collaboration

R. Aaij³², C. Abellán Beteta⁵⁰, T. Ackernley⁶⁰, B. Adeva⁴⁶, M. Adinolfi⁵⁴, H. Afsharnia⁹, C.A. Aidala⁸⁵, S. Aiola²⁵, Z. Ajaltouni⁹, S. Akar⁶⁵, J. Albrecht¹⁵, F. Alessio⁴⁸, M. Alexander⁵⁹, A. Alfonso Alberio⁴⁵, Z. Aliouche⁶², G. Alkhazov³⁸, P. Alvarez Cartelle⁵⁵, S. Amato², Y. Amhis¹¹, L. An⁴⁸, L. Anderlini²², A. Andreianov³⁸, M. Andreotti²¹, F. Archilli¹⁷, A. Artamonov⁴⁴, M. Artuso⁶⁸, K. Arzymatov⁴², E. Aslanides¹⁰, M. Atzeni⁵⁰, B. Audurier¹², S. Bachmann¹⁷, M. Bachmayer⁴⁹, J.J. Back⁵⁶, P. Baladron Rodriguez⁴⁶, V. Balagura¹², W. Baldini²¹, J. Baptista Leite¹, R.J. Barlow⁶², S. Barsuk¹¹, W. Barter⁶¹, M. Bartolini^{24,h}, F. Baryshnikov⁸², J.M. Basels¹⁴, G. Bassi²⁹, B. Batsukh⁶⁸, A. Battig¹⁵, A. Bay⁴⁹, M. Becker¹⁵, F. Bedeschi²⁹, I. Bediaga¹, A. Beiter⁶⁸, V. Belavin⁴², S. Belin²⁷, V. Bellee⁴⁹, K. Belous⁴⁴, I. Belov⁴⁰, I. Belyaev⁴¹, G. Bencivenni²³, E. Ben-Haim¹³, A. Berezhnoy⁴⁰, R. Bernet⁵⁰, D. Berninghoff¹⁷, H.C. Bernstein⁶⁸, C. Bertella⁴⁸, A. Bertolin²⁸, C. Betancourt⁵⁰, F. Betti⁴⁸, Ia. Bezshyiko⁵⁰, S. Bhasin⁵⁴, J. Bhom³⁵, L. Bian⁷³, M.S. Bieker¹⁵, S. Bifani⁵³, P. Billoir¹³, M. Birch⁶¹, F.C.R. Bishop⁵⁵, A. Bitadze⁶², A. Bizzeti^{22,k}, M. Bjørn⁶³, M.P. Blago⁴⁸, T. Blake⁵⁶, F. Blanc⁴⁹, S. Blusk⁶⁸, D. Bobulska⁵⁹, J.A. Boelhauve¹⁵, O. Boente Garcia⁴⁶, T. Boettcher⁶⁵, A. Boldyrev⁸¹, A. Bondar⁴³, N. Bondar^{38,48}, S. Borghi⁶², M. Borisyak⁴², M. Borsato¹⁷, J.T. Borsuk³⁵, S.A. Bouchiba⁴⁹, T.J.V. Bowcock⁶⁰, A. Boyer⁴⁸, C. Bozzi²¹, M.J. Bradley⁶¹, S. Braun⁶⁶, A. Brea Rodriguez⁴⁶, M. Brodski⁴⁸, J. Brodzicka³⁵, A. Brossa Gonzalo⁵⁶, D. Brundu²⁷, A. Buonaura⁵⁰, C. Burr⁴⁸, A. Bursche⁷², A. Butkevich³⁹, J.S. Butter³², J. Buytaert⁴⁸, W. Byczynski⁴⁸, S. Cadeddu²⁷, H. Cai⁷³, R. Calabrese^{21,f}, L. Calefice^{15,13}, L. Calero Diaz²³, S. Cali²³, R. Calladine⁵³, M. Calvi^{26,j}, M. Calvo Gomez⁸⁴, P. Camargo Magalhaes⁵⁴, A. Camboni^{45,84}, P. Campana²³, A.F. Campoverde Quezada⁶, S. Capelli^{26,j}, L. Capriotti^{20,d}, A. Carbone^{20,d}, G. Carboni³¹, R. Cardinale^{24,h}, A. Cardini²⁷, I. Carli⁴, P. Carniti^{26,j}, L. Carus¹⁴, K. Carvalho Akiba³², A. Casais Vidal⁴⁶, G. Casse⁶⁰, M. Cattaneo⁴⁸, G. Cavallero⁴⁸, S. Celani⁴⁹, J. Cerasoli¹⁰, A.J. Chadwick⁶⁰, M.G. Chapman⁵⁴, M. Charles¹³, Ph. Charpentier⁴⁸, G. Chatzikonstantinidis⁵³, C.A. Chavez Barajas⁶⁰, M. Chefdeville⁸, C. Chen³, S. Chen⁴, A. Chernov³⁵, V. Chobanova⁴⁶, S. Cholak⁴⁹, M. Chrzaszcz³⁵, A. Chubykin³⁸, V. Chulikov³⁸, P. Ciambrone²³, M.F. Cicala⁵⁶, X. Cid Vidal⁴⁶, G. Ciezarek⁴⁸, P.E.L. Clarke⁵⁸, M. Clemencic⁴⁸, H.V. Cliff⁵⁵, J. Closier⁴⁸, J.L. Cobbedick⁶², V. Coco⁴⁸, J.A.B. Coelho¹¹, J. Cogan¹⁰, E. Cogneras⁹, L. Cojocariu³⁷, P. Collins⁴⁸, T. Colombo⁴⁸, L. Congedo^{19,c}, A. Contu²⁷, N. Cooke⁵³, G. Coombs⁵⁹, G. Corti⁴⁸, C.M. Costa Sobral⁵⁶, B. Couturier⁴⁸, D.C. Craik⁶⁴, J. Crkavská⁶⁷, M. Cruz Torres¹, R. Currie⁵⁸, C.L. Da Silva⁶⁷, E. Dall'Occo¹⁵, J. Dalseno⁴⁶, C. D'Ambrosio⁴⁸, A. Danilina⁴¹, P. d'Argent⁴⁸, A. Davis⁶², O. De Aguiar Francisco⁶², K. De Bruyn⁷⁸, S. De Capua⁶², M. De Cian⁴⁹, J.M. De Miranda¹, L. De Paula², M. De Serio^{19,c}, D. De Simone⁵⁰, P. De Simone²³, J.A. de Vries⁷⁹, C.T. Dean⁶⁷, D. Decamp⁸, L. Del Buono¹³, B. Delaney⁵⁵, H.-P. Dembinski¹⁵, A. Dendek³⁴, V. Denysenko⁵⁰, D. Derkach⁸¹, O. Deschamps⁹, F. Desse¹¹, F. Dettori^{27,e}, B. Dey⁷³, P. Di Nezza²³, S. Didenko⁸², L. Dieste Maronas⁴⁶, H. Dijkstra⁴⁸, V. Dobishuk⁵², A.M. Donohoe¹⁸, F. Dordei²⁷, A.C. dos Reis¹, L. Douglas⁵⁹, A. Dovbnya⁵¹, A.G. Downes⁸, K. Dreimanis⁶⁰, M.W. Dudek³⁵, L. Dufour⁴⁸, V. Duk⁷⁷, P. Durante⁴⁸, J.M. Durham⁶⁷, D. Dutta⁶², A. Dziurda³⁵, A. Dzyuba³⁸, S. Easo⁵⁷, U. Egede⁶⁹, V. Egorychev⁴¹, S. Eidelman^{43,v}, S. Eisenhardt⁵⁸, S. Ek-In⁴⁹, L. Eklund^{59,w}, S. Ely⁶⁸, A. Ene³⁷, E. Eppe⁶⁷, S. Escher¹⁴, J. Eschle⁵⁰, S. Esen¹³, T. Evans⁴⁸, A. Falabella²⁰, J. Fan³, Y. Fan⁶, B. Fang⁷³, S. Farry⁶⁰, D. Fazzini^{26,j}, M. Féo⁴⁸, A. Fernandez Prieto⁴⁶, A.D. Fernandez⁶⁶, F. Ferrari^{20,d}, L. Ferreira Lopes⁴⁹, F. Ferreira Rodrigues², S. Ferreres Sole³², M. Ferrillo⁵⁰, M. Ferro-Luzzi⁴⁸, S. Filippov³⁹, R.A. Fini¹⁹, M. Fiorini^{21,f}, M. Firlej³⁴, K.M. Fischer⁶³, D.S. Fitzgerald⁸⁵, C. Fitzpatrick⁶², T. Fiutowski³⁴, F. Fleuret¹², M. Fontana¹³, F. Fontanelli^{24,h}, R. Forty⁴⁸, V. Franco Lima⁶⁰, M. Franco Sevilla⁶⁶, M. Frank⁴⁸, E. Franzoso²¹, G. Frau¹⁷, C. Frei⁴⁸, D.A. Friday⁵⁹, J. Fu²⁵, Q. Fuehring¹⁵, W. Funk⁴⁸, E. Gabriel³², T. Gaintseva⁴²,

A. Gallas Torreira⁴⁶, D. Galli^{20,d}, S. Gambetta^{58,48}, Y. Gan³, M. Gandelman², P. Gandini²⁵,
 Y. Gao⁵, M. Garau²⁷, L.M. Garcia Martin⁵⁶, P. Garcia Moreno⁴⁵, J. García Pardiñas^{26,j},
 B. Garcia Plana⁴⁶, F.A. Garcia Rosales¹², L. Garrido⁴⁵, C. Gaspar⁴⁸, R.E. Geertsema³²,
 D. Gerick¹⁷, L.L. Gerken¹⁵, E. Gersabeck⁶², M. Gersabeck⁶², T. Gershon⁵⁶, D. Gerstel¹⁰,
 Ph. Ghez⁸, V. Gibson⁵⁵, H.K. Gienza³⁶, M. Giovannetti^{23,p}, A. Gioventù⁴⁶,
 P. Gironella Gironell⁴⁵, L. Giubega³⁷, C. Giugliano^{21,f,48}, K. Gizdov⁵⁸, E.L. Gkougkousis⁴⁸,
 V.V. Gligorov¹³, C. Göbel⁷⁰, E. Golobardes⁸⁴, D. Golubkov⁴¹, A. Golutvin^{61,82}, A. Gomes^{1,a},
 S. Gomez Fernandez⁴⁵, F. Goncalves Abrantes⁶³, M. Goncerz³⁵, G. Gong³, P. Gorbounov⁴¹,
 I.V. Gorelov⁴⁰, C. Gotti²⁶, E. Govorkova⁴⁸, J.P. Grabowski¹⁷, T. Grammatico¹³,
 L.A. Granado Cardoso⁴⁸, E. Graugés⁴⁵, E. Graverini⁴⁹, G. Graziani²², A. Grecu³⁷,
 L.M. Greeven³², P. Griffith^{21,f}, L. Grillo⁶², S. Gromov⁸², B.R. Gruberg Cazon⁶³, C. Gu³,
 M. Guarise²¹, P. A. Günther¹⁷, E. Gushchin³⁹, A. Guth¹⁴, Y. Guz⁴⁴, T. Gys⁴⁸,
 T. Hadavizadeh⁶⁹, G. Haefeli⁴⁹, C. Haen⁴⁸, J. Haimberger⁴⁸, T. Halewood-leagas⁶⁰,
 P.M. Hamilton⁶⁶, Q. Han⁷, X. Han¹⁷, T.H. Hancock⁶³, S. Hansmann-Menzemer¹⁷, N. Harnew⁶³,
 T. Harrison⁶⁰, C. Hasse⁴⁸, M. Hatch⁴⁸, J. He^{6,b}, M. Hecker⁶¹, K. Heijhoff³², K. Heinicke¹⁵,
 A.M. Hennequin⁴⁸, K. Hennessy⁶⁰, L. Henry^{25,47}, J. Heuel¹⁴, A. Hicheur², D. Hill⁴⁹,
 M. Hilton⁶², S.E. Hollitt¹⁵, J. Hu¹⁷, J. Hu⁷², W. Hu⁷, W. Huang⁶, X. Huang⁷³,
 W. Hulsbergen³², R.J. Hunter⁵⁶, M. Hushchyn⁸¹, D. Hutchcroft⁶⁰, D. Hynds³², P. Ibis¹⁵,
 M. Idzik³⁴, D. Ilin³⁸, P. Ilten⁶⁵, A. Inglessi³⁸, A. Ishteev⁸², K. Ivshin³⁸, R. Jacobsson⁴⁸,
 S. Jakobsen⁴⁸, E. Jans³², B.K. Jashal⁴⁷, A. Jawahery⁶⁶, V. Jevtic¹⁵, F. Jiang³, M. John⁶³,
 D. Johnson⁴⁸, C.R. Jones⁵⁵, T.P. Jones⁵⁶, B. Jost⁴⁸, N. Jurik⁴⁸, S. Kandybei⁵¹, Y. Kang³,
 M. Karacson⁴⁸, M. Karpov⁸¹, F. Keizer⁴⁸, M. Kenzie⁵⁶, T. Ketel³³, B. Khanji¹⁵, A. Kharisova⁸³,
 S. Kholodenko⁴⁴, T. Kirn¹⁴, V.S. Kirsebom⁴⁹, O. Kitouni⁶⁴, S. Klaver³², K. Klimaszewski³⁶,
 S. Koliiev⁵², A. Kondybayeva⁸², A. Konoplyannikov⁴¹, P. Kopciwicz³⁴, R. Kopečna¹⁷,
 P. Koppenburg³², M. Korolev⁴⁰, I. Kostiuik^{32,52}, O. Kot⁵², S. Kotriakhova^{21,38}, P. Kravchenko³⁸,
 L. Kravchuk³⁹, R.D. Krawczyk⁴⁸, M. Kreps⁵⁶, F. Kress⁶¹, S. Kretzschmar¹⁴, P. Krokovny^{43,v},
 W. Krupa³⁴, W. Krzemien³⁶, W. Kucewicz^{35,t}, M. Kucharczyk³⁵, V. Kudryavtsev^{43,v},
 H.S. Kuindersma^{32,33}, G.J. Kunde⁶⁷, T. Kvaratskheliya⁴¹, D. Lacarrere⁴⁸, G. Lafferty⁶²,
 A. Lai²⁷, A. Lampis²⁷, D. Lancierini⁵⁰, J.J. Lane⁶², R. Lane⁵⁴, G. Lanfranchi²³,
 C. Langenbruch¹⁴, J. Langer¹⁵, O. Lantwin⁵⁰, T. Latham⁵⁶, F. Lazzari^{29,q}, R. Le Gac¹⁰,
 S.H. Lee⁸⁵, R. Lefèvre⁹, A. Leflat⁴⁰, S. Legotin⁸², O. Leroy¹⁰, T. Lesiak³⁵, B. Leverington¹⁷,
 H. Li⁷², L. Li⁶³, P. Li¹⁷, S. Li⁷, Y. Li⁴, Y. Li⁴, Z. Li⁶⁸, X. Liang⁶⁸, T. Lin⁶¹, R. Lindner⁴⁸,
 V. Lisovskyi¹⁵, R. Litvinov²⁷, G. Liu⁷², H. Liu⁶, S. Liu⁴, X. Liu³, A. Loi²⁷, J. Lomba Castro⁴⁶,
 I. Longstaff⁵⁹, J.H. Lopes², G.H. Lovell⁵⁵, Y. Lu⁴, D. Lucchesi^{28,l}, S. Luchuk³⁹,
 M. Lucio Martinez³², V. Lukashenko^{32,52}, Y. Luo³, A. Lupato⁶², E. Luppi^{21,f}, O. Lupton⁵⁶,
 A. Lusiani^{29,m}, X. Lyu⁶, L. Ma⁴, R. Ma⁶, S. Maccolini^{20,d}, F. Machefert¹¹, F. Maciuc³⁷,
 V. Macko⁴⁹, P. Mackowiak¹⁵, S. Maddrell-Mander⁵⁴, O. Madejczyk³⁴, L.R. Madhan Mohan⁵⁴,
 O. Maev³⁸, A. Maevskiy⁸¹, D. Maisuzenko³⁸, M.W. Majewski³⁴, J.J. Malczewski³⁵, S. Malde⁶³,
 B. Malecki⁴⁸, A. Malinin⁸⁰, T. Maltsev^{43,v}, H. Malygina¹⁷, G. Manca^{27,e}, G. Mancinelli¹⁰,
 D. Manuzzi^{20,d}, D. Marangotto^{25,i}, J. Maratas^{9,s}, J.F. Marchand⁸, U. Marconi²⁰, S. Mariani^{22,g},
 C. Marin Benito⁴⁸, M. Marinangeli⁴⁹, J. Marks¹⁷, A.M. Marshall⁵⁴, P.J. Marshall⁶⁰,
 G. Martellotti³⁰, L. Martinazzoli^{48,j}, M. Martinelli^{26,j}, D. Martinez Santos⁴⁶,
 F. Martinez Vidal⁴⁷, A. Massafferri¹, M. Materok¹⁴, R. Matev⁴⁸, A. Mathad⁵⁰, Z. Mathe⁴⁸,
 V. Matiunin⁴¹, C. Matteuzzi²⁶, K.R. Mattioli⁸⁵, A. Mauri³², E. Maurice¹², J. Mauricio⁴⁵,
 M. Mazurek⁴⁸, M. McCann⁶¹, L. McConnell¹⁸, T.H. Mcgrath⁶², A. McNab⁶², R. McNulty¹⁸,
 J.V. Mead⁶⁰, B. Meadows⁶⁵, C. Meaux¹⁰, G. Meier¹⁵, N. Meinert⁷⁶, D. Melnychuk³⁶,
 S. Meloni^{26,j}, M. Merk^{32,79}, A. Merli²⁵, L. Meyer Garcia², M. Mikhasenko⁴⁸, D.A. Milanese⁷⁴,
 E. Millard⁵⁶, M. Milovanovic⁴⁸, M.-N. Minard⁸, A. Minotti²¹, L. Minzoni^{21,f}, S.E. Mitchell⁵⁸,
 B. Mitreska⁶², D.S. Mitzel⁴⁸, A. Mödden¹⁵, R.A. Mohammed⁶³, R.D. Moise⁶¹,
 T. Mombächer¹⁵, I.A. Monroy⁷⁴, S. Monteil⁹, M. Morandin²⁸, G. Morello²³, M.J. Morello^{29,m},

J. Moron³⁴, A.B. Morris⁷⁵, A.G. Morris⁵⁶, R. Mountain⁶⁸, H. Mu³, F. Muheim^{58,48},
 M. Mulder⁴⁸, D. Müller⁴⁸, K. Müller⁵⁰, C.H. Murphy⁶³, D. Murray⁶², P. Muzzetto^{27,48},
 P. Naik⁵⁴, T. Nakada⁴⁹, R. Nandakumar⁵⁷, T. Nanut⁴⁹, I. Nasteva², M. Needham⁵⁸, I. Neri²¹,
 N. Neri^{25,i}, S. Neubert⁷⁵, N. Neufeld⁴⁸, R. Newcombe⁶¹, T.D. Nguyen⁴⁹, C. Nguyen-Mau^{49,x},
 E.M. Niel¹¹, S. Nieswand¹⁴, N. Nikitin⁴⁰, N.S. Nolte¹⁵, C. Nunez⁸⁵, A. Oblakowska-Mucha³⁴,
 V. Obraztsov⁴⁴, D.P. O'Hanlon⁵⁴, R. Oldeman^{27,e}, M.E. Olivares⁶⁸, C.J.G. Onderwater⁷⁸,
 A. Ossowska³⁵, J.M. Otalora Goicochea², T. Ovsiannikova⁴¹, P. Owen⁵⁰, A. Oyanguren⁴⁷,
 B. Pagare⁵⁶, P.R. Pais⁴⁸, T. Pajero⁶³, A. Palano¹⁹, M. Palutan²³, Y. Pan⁶², G. Panshin⁸³,
 A. Papanestis⁵⁷, M. Pappagallo^{19,c}, L.L. Pappalardo^{21,f}, C. Pappenheimer⁶⁵, W. Parker⁶⁶,
 C. Parkes⁶², C.J. Parkinson⁴⁶, B. Passalacqua²¹, G. Passaleva²², A. Pastore¹⁹, M. Patel⁶¹,
 C. Patrignani^{20,d}, C.J. Pawley⁷⁹, A. Pearce⁴⁸, A. Pellegrino³², M. Pepe Altarelli⁴⁸,
 S. Perazzini²⁰, D. Pereima⁴¹, P. Perret⁹, M. Petric^{59,48}, K. Petridis⁵⁴, A. Petrolini^{24,h},
 A. Petrov⁸⁰, S. Petrucci⁵⁸, M. Petruzzio²⁵, T.T.H. Pham⁶⁸, A. Philippov⁴², L. Pica^{29,m},
 M. Piccini⁷⁷, B. Pietrzyk⁸, G. Pietrzyk⁴⁹, M. Pili⁶³, D. Pinci³⁰, F. Pisani⁴⁸, Resmi P.K.¹⁰,
 V. Placinta³⁷, J. Plews⁵³, M. Plo Casasus⁴⁶, F. Polci¹³, M. Poli Lener²³, M. Poliakov⁶⁸,
 A. Poluektov¹⁰, N. Polukhina^{82,u}, I. Polyakov⁶⁸, E. Polycarpo², G.J. Pomery⁵⁴, S. Ponce⁴⁸,
 D. Popov^{6,48}, S. Popov⁴², S. Poslavskii⁴⁴, K. Prasanth³⁵, L. Promberger⁴⁸, C. Prouve⁴⁶,
 V. Pugatch⁵², H. Pullen⁶³, G. Punzi^{29,n}, W. Qian⁶, J. Qin⁶, R. Quagliani¹³, B. Quintana⁸,
 N.V. Raab¹⁸, R.I. Rabadan Trejo¹⁰, B. Rachwal³⁴, J.H. Rademacker⁵⁴, M. Rama²⁹,
 M. Ramos Pernas⁵⁶, M.S. Rangel², F. Ratnikov^{42,81}, G. Raven³³, M. Reboud⁸, F. Redi⁴⁹,
 F. Reiss⁶², C. Remon Alepuz⁴⁷, Z. Ren³, V. Renaudin⁶³, R. Ribatti²⁹, S. Ricciardi⁵⁷,
 K. Rinnert⁶⁰, P. Robbe¹¹, G. Robertson⁵⁸, A.B. Rodrigues⁴⁹, E. Rodrigues⁶⁰,
 J.A. Rodriguez Lopez⁷⁴, A. Rollings⁶³, P. Roloff⁴⁸, V. Romanovskiy⁴⁴, M. Romero Lamas⁴⁶,
 A. Romero Vidal⁴⁶, J.D. Roth⁸⁵, M. Rotondo²³, M.S. Rudolph⁶⁸, T. Ruf⁴⁸, J. Ruiz Vidal⁴⁷,
 A. Ryzhikov⁸¹, J. Ryzka³⁴, J.J. Saborido Silva⁴⁶, N. Sagidova³⁸, N. Sahoo⁵⁶, B. Saitta^{27,e},
 M. Salomoni⁴⁸, C. Sanchez Gras³², R. Santacesaria³⁰, C. Santamarina Rios⁴⁶, M. Santimaria²³,
 E. Santovetti^{31,p}, D. Saranin⁸², G. Sarpis¹⁴, M. Sarpis⁷⁵, A. Sarti³⁰, C. Satriano^{30,o}, A. Satta³¹,
 M. Saur¹⁵, D. Savrina^{41,40}, H. Sazak⁹, L.G. Scantlebury Smead⁶³, S. Schael¹⁴,
 M. Schellenberg¹⁵, M. Schiller⁵⁹, H. Schindler⁴⁸, M. Schmelling¹⁶, B. Schmidt⁴⁸, O. Schneider⁴⁹,
 A. Schopper⁴⁸, M. Schubiger³², S. Schulte⁴⁹, M.H. Schune¹¹, R. Schwemmer⁴⁸, B. Sciascia²³,
 S. Sellam⁴⁶, A. Semennikov⁴¹, M. Senghi Soares³³, A. Sergi^{24,h}, N. Serra⁵⁰, L. Sestini²⁸,
 A. Seuthe¹⁵, P. Seyfert⁴⁸, Y. Shang⁵, D.M. Shangase⁸⁵, M. Shapkin⁴⁴, I. Shchemerov⁸²,
 L. Shchutskaya⁴⁹, T. Shears⁶⁰, L. Shekhtman^{43,v}, Z. Shen⁵, V. Shevchenko⁸⁰, E.B. Shields^{26,j},
 E. Shmanin⁸², J.D. Shupperd⁶⁸, B.G. Siddi²¹, R. Silva Coutinho⁵⁰, G. Simi²⁸, S. Simone^{19,c},
 N. Skidmore⁶², T. Skwarnicki⁶⁸, M.W. Slater⁵³, I. Slazyk^{21,f}, J.C. Smallwood⁶³,
 J.G. Smeaton⁵⁵, A. Smetkina⁴¹, E. Smith⁵⁰, M. Smith⁶¹, A. Snoch³², M. Soares²⁰,
 L. Soares Lavra⁹, M.D. Sokoloff⁶⁵, F.J.P. Soler⁵⁹, A. Solovov³⁸, I. Solov'yev³⁸,
 F.L. Souza De Almeida², B. Souza De Paula², B. Spaan¹⁵, E. Spadaro Norella^{25,i}, P. Spradlin⁵⁹,
 F. Stagni⁴⁸, M. Stahl⁶⁵, S. Stahl⁴⁸, P. Stefko⁴⁹, O. Steinkamp^{50,82}, O. Stenyakin⁴⁴, H. Stevens¹⁵,
 S. Stone⁶⁸, M.E. Stramaglia⁴⁹, M. Straticiu³⁷, D. Strekalina⁸², F. Suljik⁶³, J. Sun²⁷, L. Sun⁷³,
 Y. Sun⁶⁶, P. Sviha⁶², P.N. Swallow⁵³, K. Swientek³⁴, A. Szabelski³⁶, T. Szumlak³⁴,
 M. Szymanski⁴⁸, S. Taneja⁶², F. Teubert⁴⁸, E. Thomas⁴⁸, K.A. Thomson⁶⁰, V. Tisserand⁹,
 S. T'Jampens⁸, M. Tobin⁴, L. Tomassetti^{21,f}, D. Torres Machado¹, D.Y. Tou¹³, M.T. Tran⁴⁹,
 E. Trifonova⁸², C. Tripp⁴⁹, G. Tuci^{29,n}, A. Tully⁴⁹, N. Tuning^{32,48}, A. Ukleja³⁶,
 D.J. Unverzagt¹⁷, E. Ursov⁸², A. Usachov³², A. Ustyuzhanin^{42,81}, U. Uwer¹⁷, A. Vagner⁸³,
 V. Vagnoni²⁰, A. Valassi⁴⁸, G. Valenti²⁰, N. Valls Canudas⁸⁴, M. van Beuzekom³²,
 M. Van Dijk⁴⁹, E. van Herwijnen⁸², C.B. Van Hulse¹⁸, M. van Veghel⁷⁸, R. Vazquez Gomez⁴⁶,
 P. Vazquez Regueiro⁴⁶, C. Vázquez Sierra⁴⁸, S. Vecchi²¹, J.J. Velthuis⁵⁴, M. Veltri^{22,r},
 A. Venkateswaran⁶⁸, M. Veronesi³², M. Vesterinen⁵⁶, D. Vieira⁶⁵, M. Vieites Diaz⁴⁹,
 H. Viemann⁷⁶, X. Vilasis-Cardona⁸⁴, E. Vilella Figueras⁶⁰, P. Vincent¹³, D. Vom Bruch¹⁰,

A. Vorobyev³⁸, V. Vorobyev^{43,v}, N. Voropaev³⁸, R. Waldi¹⁷, J. Walsh²⁹, C. Wang¹⁷, J. Wang⁵, J. Wang⁴, J. Wang³, J. Wang⁷³, M. Wang³, R. Wang⁵⁴, Y. Wang⁷, Z. Wang⁵⁰, Z. Wang³, H.M. Wark⁶⁰, N.K. Watson⁵³, S.G. Weber¹³, D. Websdale⁶¹, C. Weisser⁶⁴, B.D.C. Westhenry⁵⁴, D.J. White⁶², M. Whitehead⁵⁴, D. Wiedner¹⁵, G. Wilkinson⁶³, M. Wilkinson⁶⁸, I. Williams⁵⁵, M. Williams⁶⁴, M.R.J. Williams⁵⁸, F.F. Wilson⁵⁷, W. Wislicki³⁶, M. Witek³⁵, L. Witola¹⁷, G. Wormser¹¹, S.A. Wotton⁵⁵, H. Wu⁶⁸, K. Wyllie⁴⁸, Z. Xiang⁶, D. Xiao⁷, Y. Xie⁷, A. Xu⁵, J. Xu⁶, L. Xu³, M. Xu⁷, Q. Xu⁶, Z. Xu⁵, Z. Xu⁶, D. Yang³, S. Yang⁶, Y. Yang⁶, Z. Yang³, Z. Yang⁶⁶, Y. Yao⁶⁸, L.E. Yeomans⁶⁰, H. Yin⁷, J. Yu⁷¹, X. Yuan⁶⁸, O. Yushchenko⁴⁴, E. Zaffaroni⁴⁹, M. Zavertyaev^{16,u}, M. Zdybal³⁵, O. Zenaiev⁴⁸, M. Zeng³, D. Zhang⁷, L. Zhang³, S. Zhang⁵, Y. Zhang⁵, Y. Zhang⁶³, A. Zhelezov¹⁷, Y. Zheng⁶, X. Zhou⁶, Y. Zhou⁶, X. Zhu³, Z. Zhu⁶, V. Zhukov^{14,40}, J.B. Zonneveld⁵⁸, Q. Zou⁴, S. Zucchelli^{20,d}, D. Zuliani²⁸, G. Zunica⁶².

¹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

²Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

³Center for High Energy Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

⁴Institute Of High Energy Physics (IHEP), Beijing, China

⁵School of Physics State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

⁶University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁷Institute of Particle Physics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, Hubei, China

⁸Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IN2P3-LAPP, Annecy, France

⁹Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France

¹⁰Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France

¹¹Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, Orsay, France

¹²Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

¹³LPNHE, Sorbonne Université, Paris Diderot Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Paris, France

¹⁴I. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

¹⁵Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Dortmund, Germany

¹⁶Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik (MPIK), Heidelberg, Germany

¹⁷Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

¹⁸School of Physics, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

¹⁹INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

²⁰INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

²¹INFN Sezione di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

²²INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

²³INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

²⁴INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

²⁵INFN Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy

²⁶INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

²⁷INFN Sezione di Cagliari, Monserrato, Italy

²⁸Università degli Studi di Padova, Università e INFN, Padova, Padova, Italy

²⁹INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

³⁰INFN Sezione di Roma La Sapienza, Roma, Italy

³¹INFN Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy

³²Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands

³³Nikhef National Institute for Subatomic Physics and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

³⁴AGH - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, Kraków, Poland

³⁵Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland

³⁶National Center for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Warsaw, Poland

³⁷Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest-Magurele, Romania

³⁸Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute NRC Kurchatov Institute (PNPI NRC KI), Gatchina, Russia

³⁹Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences (INR RAS), Moscow, Russia

- ⁴⁰*Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University (SINP MSU), Moscow, Russia*
- ⁴¹*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (ITEP NRC KI), Moscow, Russia*
- ⁴²*Yandex School of Data Analysis, Moscow, Russia*
- ⁴³*Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (SB RAS), Novosibirsk, Russia*
- ⁴⁴*Institute for High Energy Physics NRC Kurchatov Institute (IHEP NRC KI), Protvino, Russia, Protvino, Russia*
- ⁴⁵*ICCUB, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain*
- ⁴⁶*Instituto Galego de Física de Altas Enerxías (IGFAE), Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain*
- ⁴⁷*Instituto de Física Corpuscular, Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia - CSIC, Valencia, Spain*
- ⁴⁸*European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
- ⁴⁹*Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland*
- ⁵⁰*Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland*
- ⁵¹*NSC Kharkiv Institute of Physics and Technology (NSC KIPT), Kharkiv, Ukraine*
- ⁵²*Institute for Nuclear Research of the National Academy of Sciences (KINR), Kyiv, Ukraine*
- ⁵³*University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁴*H.H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁵*Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁶*Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁷*STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁸*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom*
- ⁵⁹*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom*
- ⁶⁰*Oliver Lodge Laboratory, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
- ⁶¹*Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom*
- ⁶²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom*
- ⁶³*Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom*
- ⁶⁴*Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States*
- ⁶⁵*University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, United States*
- ⁶⁶*University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States*
- ⁶⁷*Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, United States*
- ⁶⁸*Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, United States*
- ⁶⁹*School of Physics and Astronomy, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia, associated to ⁵⁶*
- ⁷⁰*Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, associated to ²*
- ⁷¹*Physics and Micro Electronic College, Hunan University, Changsha City, China, associated to ⁷*
- ⁷²*Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Guangdong-Hong Kong Joint Laboratory of Quantum Matter, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China, associated to ³*
- ⁷³*School of Physics and Technology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, associated to ³*
- ⁷⁴*Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogota, Colombia, associated to ¹³*
- ⁷⁵*Universität Bonn - Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen und Kernphysik, Bonn, Germany, associated to ¹⁷*
- ⁷⁶*Institut für Physik, Universität Rostock, Rostock, Germany, associated to ¹⁷*
- ⁷⁷*INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy, associated to ²¹*
- ⁷⁸*Van Swinderen Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands, associated to ³²*
- ⁷⁹*Universiteit Maastricht, Maastricht, Netherlands, associated to ³²*
- ⁸⁰*National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia, associated to ⁴¹*
- ⁸¹*National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia, associated to ⁴²*
- ⁸²*National University of Science and Technology "MISIS", Moscow, Russia, associated to ⁴¹*
- ⁸³*National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia, associated to ⁴¹*
- ⁸⁴*DS4DS, La Salle, Universitat Ramon Llull, Barcelona, Spain, associated to ⁴⁵*
- ⁸⁵*University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, United States, associated to ⁶⁸*

^a*Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM), Uberaba-MG, Brazil*

^b*Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou, China*

^c*Università di Bari, Bari, Italy*

^d*Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*

^e*Università di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy*

- ^f *Università di Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy*
- ^g *Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy*
- ^h *Università di Genova, Genova, Italy*
- ⁱ *Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy*
- ^j *Università di Milano Bicocca, Milano, Italy*
- ^k *Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy*
- ^l *Università di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- ^m *Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, Italy*
- ⁿ *Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy*
- ^o *Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy*
- ^p *Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, Italy*
- ^q *Università di Siena, Siena, Italy*
- ^r *Università di Urbino, Urbino, Italy*
- ^s *MSU - Iligan Institute of Technology (MSU-IIT), Iligan, Philippines*
- ^t *AGH - University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Kraków, Poland*
- ^u *P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Science (LPI RAS), Moscow, Russia*
- ^v *Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia*
- ^w *Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden*
- ^x *Hanoi University of Science, Hanoi, Vietnam*